

Mainland and Hong Kong
Closer Economic Partnership Arrangement (CEPA)

Frequently Asked Questions – Agreement concerning Amendment to
the CEPA Agreement on Trade in Services (Amendment Agreement)
(implemented on 1 June 2020)

Q1. How many agreements are there under CEPA?

A: CEPA is a free trade agreement concluded by the Mainland and Hong Kong. It is a framework agreement underpinned by four agreements, namely Agreement on Trade in Services (signed in 2015), Investment Agreement (signed in 2017), Agreement on Economic and Technical Cooperation (signed in 2017) and Agreement on Trade in Goods (signed in 2018).

Q2. Why does the Agreement on Trade in Services signed in 2015 need to be amended? What are the major amendments made under the Amendment Agreement?

A: The Agreement on Trade in Services (the Agreement) is amended to further enhance the liberalisation level of the Agreement and to deepen the liberalisation of trade in services between the two sides, thereby allowing more Hong Kong enterprises and professionals to enjoy preferential treatment when tapping into the Mainland market, to maintain CEPA as the most liberal free trade agreement of the Mainland, and to promote continuous market opening.

The two sides started a new round of discussion on services liberalisation under the framework of CEPA since early 2019. The Mainland has positively responded to proposals of the trade in Hong Kong for lowering market access thresholds or expanding the scope of business operation in various sectors in the Mainland.

The new liberalisation measures in the Amendment Agreement cover a number of important sectors such as financial services, legal services, construction and related engineering services, testing and certification, television, motion pictures, tourism services, etc. The liberalisation measures include removing or relaxing restrictions on equity shareholding, capital requirement and business scope in establishment of enterprises, relaxing qualification requirement, etc., thus making it easier for Hong Kong service suppliers and professionals to set up enterprises and develop business in the Mainland.

For details of the new liberalisation measures, please refer to the webpage of the Trade and Industry Department: http://www.tid.gov.hk/english/cepa/legaltext/cepa18_note.html.

Q3. When will the Amendment Agreement be implemented? The Amendment Agreement includes descriptions of policy support for further liberalisation in respect of some key services sectors such as financial and tourism services. Are there any specific liberalisation measures and time table in this regard?

A: The Amendment Agreement was implemented on 1 June 2020. The Amendment Agreement includes descriptions of policy support in respect of some key services sectors so as to affirm Mainland's objective and direction of further liberalisation, thereby laying the foundation for cooperation in trade in services between the two sides in future. In accordance with the objective and direction set out in the Amendment Agreement, relevant authorities of the two sides will actively study the implementation of relevant policies and formulate specific liberalisation measures so as to further deepen the liberalisation of trade in services between the two places.

Q4. What are the differences between the approach of “negative list” and “positive list” for liberalisation?

A: The “positive list” approach sets out the liberalisation measures for Hong Kong by the Mainland. No other commitment is made.

“Negative list” is a more transparent, stable and predictable way of listing liberalisation commitments. In free trade agreements and investment agreements, contracting parties may set out in the “negative list” the restrictive measures for specific services trade sectors reserved as inconsistent with the obligations of national treatment, most-favoured treatment, etc..

Measures listed in the “negative list” are “restrictive measures”. With the adoption of “negative list”, except for those restrictive measures as well as the horizontal management measures, the Mainland will not impose any particular restrictions on eligible Hong Kong service suppliers, i.e. “permitted if not forbidden”. Meanwhile, regarding the modes ¹ of cross-border supply, consumption abroad, movement of natural persons (collectively known as “cross-border services”), the Mainland’s liberalisation measures for Hong Kong service suppliers in the Amendment Agreement will remain positively listed item by item.

¹ The four modes of supply for trade in services adopted by the World Trade Organisation are:

- (a) **Cross-border supply:** service supplier in Hong Kong supplies services to consumers located in the Mainland, such as supplying consultancy services through electronic means;
- (b) **Consumption abroad:** service supplier in Hong Kong supplies services to Mainland consumers located in Hong Kong, such as hotel services;
- (c) **Commercial presence:** Hong Kong service supplier supplies services through establishment of enterprises in the Mainland, such as establishment of printing enterprises; and
- (d) **Movement of natural persons:** Hong Kong service supplier supplies services by himself or his employees in the Mainland, such as architect.

Commercial presence is the most popular mode of services adopted by Hong Kong service suppliers. The other three modes of services (including cross-border supply, consumption abroad and movement of natural persons) are collectively known as “cross-border services” under the Agreement on Trade in Services.

Q5. Does the “application of national treatment” mean that the operation of Hong Kong enterprises in the Mainland will no longer be restricted?

A: The “application of national treatment” means that Hong Kong service suppliers will be treated equally as the Mainland service suppliers. If the Mainland enterprises are subject to relevant rules and regulations, Hong Kong service suppliers will also be subject to equal restrictions.

Q6. Why is the “negative list” only adopted in the mode of “commercial presence”?

A: At present, Hong Kong service suppliers operate in the Mainland mainly by setting up of enterprises, i.e. to provide services by means of “commercial presence”. As such, the use of “negative list” in respect of the mode of “commercial presence” demonstrates the determination of the Mainland to continue with market liberalisation and, through the adoption of the approach of “permitted if not forbidden” for market commitment in the most popular business mode adopted by Hong Kong traders, helps them better tap into the Mainland market.

Q7. Why are “cross-border services” dealt with under the approach of “positive list”?

A: The modes of “cross-border services” have specific characteristics, sophisticated laws and regulations, while at the same time may involve public interest and safety. The liberalisation therefore remains to be set out in the form of “positive list”. Yet, it does not mean that the Mainland refrains from opening up “cross-border services” to Hong Kong. Under the “positive list” in the Amendment Agreement, 26 liberalisation measures are newly added, and 34 liberalisation measures become more liberal.

Q8. What commitments has Hong Kong made in the Amendment Agreement?

A: Following the past practice, Hong Kong will not impose any new discriminatory measures on Mainland's services and service suppliers in the areas of services covered in the Amendment Agreement.

Q9. Is there any change in the definition of the "Hong Kong service supplier" under the Amendment Agreement? Can external investors enjoy the preferential treatment provided by the Mainland under CEPA?

A: The definition of the "Hong Kong service supplier" under the Agreement on Trade in Services is not amended in the Amendment Agreement. "Hong Kong service supplier" as a "natural person" means a Hong Kong permanent resident, whereas "Hong Kong service supplier" as a "juridical person" means any legal entity duly constituted or organised under the applicable laws of Hong Kong (i.e. corporation, partnership, sole proprietorship, etc.) and which has engaged in substantive business operations in Hong Kong for 3 to 5 years (including having paid profit tax in Hong Kong, owned or rented business premises, employed Hong Kong residents in over half of its staff).

Regardless of whether the external investors supply services in the form of "natural person" or "juridical person", they can enjoy the preferential treatment offered by the Mainland under CEPA provided that they can fulfil the definition of "Hong Kong service supplier" under the Agreement on Trade in Services.

For details of the definition of "Hong Kong service supplier" and its related requirements, please refer to Annex 3 (Definition of "Service Supplier" and Related Requirements) of the Agreement on Trade in Services signed in 2015.

Q10. After the signing of the Amendment Agreement, are there any services sectors that the Mainland has yet to open up to Hong Kong?

A: After the signing of the Amendment Agreement, there are only a very limited number of sectors that the Mainland has yet opened up to Hong Kong service suppliers, including postal services, space transport, etc.. These sectors are sensitive industries which the Mainland does not open up to external investors, and do not belong to the key industries which Hong Kong service suppliers are investing or developing businesses in the Mainland.

Q11. After the signing of the Amendment Agreement, will the two sides continue to have consultation for further liberalisation on trade in services?

A: The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Government will maintain close communication with the Mainland authorities to continue enriching the contents of CEPA, and to discuss and formulate more measures on liberalisation and facilitation of trade and investment at an appropriate time.

Q12. After the signing of the Amendment Agreement, if the Mainland provides other external investors with more preferential treatment than CEPA, can Hong Kong's trade benefit?

A: In accordance with Article 5 (Most-Favoured Treatment) of Chapter 3 (Obligations and Disciplines) of the Agreement on Trade in Services, any CEPA-plus liberalisation measures accorded by the Mainland to services and service suppliers of other countries or regions will also be extended to Hong Kong, assuring Hong Kong's favourable position to enjoy the most preferential liberalisation measures of the Mainland. Therefore, the unilateral liberalisation measures introduced by the Mainland applicable to all external

investors will be immediately and automatically applied to Hong Kong without the need to be included under CEPA.